

SUBJECT: SECTION 106 AGREEMENT : FUNDING OF OPENS SPACE MAINTENANCE AND RELEVANT STRUCTURES

MEETING: INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DATE: 28TH MARCH 2018

DIVISION/WARDS AFFECTED: CASTLE AND GROFIELD AND PRIORY WARDS ABERGAVENNY

NON-PUBLICATION

N/A

1. PURPOSE:

To seek member approval to utilise Section 106 Major Maintenance monies to repair the 28.5mtr wooden pedestrian footbridge spanning the River Gavenny between the Pen y Val settlement and Ross Road.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 2.1 That £35,950 of the Section 106 balance is made available to fund the revenue works described below be made available for the financial year period 2018/19
- 2.2 Total amount of Section 106 Major Maintenance available for the Pen y Val Settlement equates to £134,798.00 of which £35,950 is required to carry out the necessary repairs to the footbridge.

3. KEY ISSUES:

The bridge has been in-situ since 2000. Major maintenance works are required in order to bring the structure back in to good repair before the structure is deemed unsafe and unusable.

The structure provides an important and safe link between the Pen-y-fal development and Ross Road and Abergavenny town.

The structure serves as a major pedestrian and cycling link for residents and it provides safe access for children that walk or cycle to local schools within Abergavenny.

MCC Structural Engineers have assessed the condition of the structure and have made account of repairs that are required. The anticipated time period required to undertake the required works would be in excess of six weeks. Both local Ward Members have been kept updated in relation to all issues.

4. OPTIONS APPRAISAL

Do Nothing – this is not an option as the structure will deteriorate further and will need to be closed

Replace bridge – a replacement bridge would exceed the allowable Section 106 budget allocation.

5. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Attached

6. REASONS:

Repairs to the bridge provide the most cost effective solution to maintaining this important cycling and pedestrian link.

7. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

MCC Structural Engineers have assessed the condition of the structure and have made account of repairs that are required and have in addition obtained quotations to of which include:

18no hardwood Parapet posts to be replaced

90no hardwood Infill posts to be replaced

38no hardwood infill panels to be replaced

All hardwood decking boards to be replaced with a non-slip type for the full span of the bridge. The whole structure is then to have a preservative treatment applied to it.

There are no reserve implications arising from this report as the highlighted work costing a total of £35950.00 will be met from funds already received by the Council through s.106 for maintenance of the development and associated infrastructure.

8. WELLBEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS IMPLICATIONS (INCORPORATING EQUALITIES, SUSTAINABILITY, SAFEGUARDING AND CORPORATE PARENTING):

9. CONSULTEES:

Cabinet Members

Section 106 Working Group

Local Members

Leadership Team

Highways Structural Engineers

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Not applicable

11. AUTHOR: Nigel Leaworthy

CONTACT DETAILS: Mr Nigel Leaworthy Operations Manager

Contact Tel: 01633 644151 – nigelleaworthy@monmouthshire.gov.uk

Evaluation Criteria – Cabinet, Individual Cabinet Member Decisions & Council

Title of Report:	
Date decision was made:	
Report Author:	

What will happen as a result of this decision being approved by Cabinet or Council?

What is the desired outcome of the decision? Repairs to bridge completed

What effect will the decision have on the public/officers? Continue to provide a safe route for pedestrians and cyclist over the River Gavenny at Pen-y-fal

12 month appraisal

Was the desired outcome achieved? What has changed as a result of the decision? Have things improved overall as a result of the decision being taken?

What benchmarks and/or criteria will you use to determine whether the decision has been successfully implemented?

Think about what you will use to assess whether the decision has had a positive or negative effect:

Has there been an increase/decrease in the number of users

Has the level of service to the customer changed and how will you know

If decision is to restructure departments, has there been any effect on the team (e.g increase in sick leave)

12 month appraisal

Paint a picture of what has happened since the decision was implemented. Give an overview of how you fared against the criteria. What worked well, what didn't work well. The reasons why you might not have achieved the desired level of outcome. Detail the positive outcomes as a direct result of the decision. If something didn't work, why didn't it work and how has that effected implementation.

What is the estimate cost of implementing this decision or, if the decision is designed to save money, what is the proposed saving that the decision will achieve?

Give an overview of the planned costs associated with the project, which should already be included in the report, so that once the evaluation is completed there is a quick overview of whether it was delivered on budget or if the desired level of savings was achieved.

12 month appraisal

Give an overview of whether the decision was implemented within the budget set out in the report or whether the desired amount of savings was realised. If not, give a brief overview of the reasons why and what the actual costs/savings were.

Any other comments

Appendix 1.

Well-being and Future Generations Assessment






<p>Name of the Officer Nigel Leaworthy</p> <p>Phone no:</p> <p>E-mail:</p>	<p>Please give a brief description of the aims of the proposal</p> <p>To agree the use of S.106 funding for the repair of footbridge between Pen-y-fal and Ross rd.</p>
<p>Name of Service</p> <p>Waste & Street Services</p>	<p>Date Future Generations Evaluation December 2019</p>

1. Does your proposal deliver any of the well-being goals below? Please explain the impact (positive and negative) you expect, together with suggestions of how to mitigate negative impacts or better contribute to the goal.

Well Being Goal	How does the proposal contribute to this goal? (positive and negative)	What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
<p>A prosperous Wales</p> <p>Efficient use of resources, skilled, educated people, generates wealth, provides jobs</p>	<p>The bridge provides a vital link for pedestrians and cyclists between Abergavenny and Penyfal. This gives safe access school, work and leisure activities.</p>	
<p>A resilient Wales</p> <p>Maintain and enhance biodiversity and ecosystems that support resilience and can adapt to change (e.g. climate change)</p>	<p>The bridge reduces the need to walk along a busy road or to use vehicles for short journeys</p>	

Well Being Goal	How does the proposal contribute to this goal? (positive and negative)	What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
<p>A healthier Wales</p> <p>People’s physical and mental wellbeing is maximized and health impacts are understood</p>	<p>Allows sustainable and active travel principles to be fully adopted</p>	
<p>A Wales of cohesive communities</p> <p>Communities are attractive, viable, safe and well connected</p>	<p>Brings together the two communities and encourages walking and cycling as the primary transport option</p>	
<p>A globally responsible Wales</p> <p>Taking account of impact on global well-being when considering local social, economic and environmental wellbeing</p>	<p>Cleaner, greener, safer environments not only benefit local people directly but can benefit local people through inward investment, increased tourism and green infrastructure.</p>	
<p>A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language</p> <p>Culture, heritage and Welsh language are promoted and protected. People are encouraged to do sport, art and recreation</p>	<p>neutral</p>	<p>neutral</p>
<p>A more equal Wales</p> <p>People can fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances</p>	<p>Gives good access to the town and development</p>	

2. How has your proposal embedded and prioritised the sustainable governance principles in its development?

Sustainable Development Principle	How does your proposal demonstrate you have met this principle?	What has been done to better to meet this principle?
 <p>Long-term</p> <p>Balancing short term need with long term and planning for the future</p>	<p>Repairing the bridge means that it will not deteriorate further and become too expensive to replace</p>	
 <p>Collaboration</p> <p>Working together with other partners to deliver objectives</p>	<p>Working with Local members and the public to ensure this vital linkway is maintained</p>	
 <p>Involvement</p> <p>Involving those with an interest and seeking their views</p>	<p>As above</p>	
 <p>Prevention</p> <p>Putting resources into preventing problems occurring or getting worse</p>	<p>FSC wood will be used to replace the existing structural defects and this will be treated to improve longevity of the bridge structure.</p>	
 <p>Integration</p> <p>Positively impacting on people, economy and environment and trying to benefit all three</p>	<p>Ensuring the residents are able to access work and schools as pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>Reducing the carbon associated with short journeys by car.</p> <p>Connecting the community in a positive and cohesive manner.</p>	

3. Are your proposals going to affect any people or groups of people with protected characteristics? Please explain the impact, the evidence you have used and any action you are taking below.

Protected Characteristics	Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	What has been/will be done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
Age	The proposal does not have an impact on protected characteristics of individuals.		
Disability			
Gender reassignment			
Marriage or civil partnership			
Race			
Religion or Belief			
Sex			
Sexual Orientation			
Welsh Language			

4. Council has agreed the need to consider the impact its decisions has on important responsibilities of Corporate Parenting and safeguarding. Are your proposals going to affect either of these responsibilities? For more information please see the guidance note <http://hub/corporatedocs/Democratic%20Services/Equality%20impact%20assessment%20and%20safeguarding.docx> and for more on Monmouthshire's Corporate Parenting Strategy see <http://hub/corporatedocs/SitePages/Corporate%20Parenting%20Strategy.aspx>

	Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on safeguarding and corporate parenting	Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on safeguarding and corporate parenting	What will you do/ have you done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
Safeguarding	Like 4 above, the proposals do not affect individuals and thereby do not affect or impact on the Council's corporate parenting and safeguarding duties.		
Corporate Parenting			

5. What evidence and data has informed the development of your proposal?

Structural engineers report highlighted issues that need to be addressed.

6. SUMMARY: As a result of completing this form, what are the main positive and negative impacts of your proposal, how have they informed/changed the development of the proposal so far and what will you be doing in future?

--

7. Actions. As a result of completing this form are there any further actions you will be undertaking? Please detail them below, if applicable.

What are you going to do	When are you going to do it?	Who is responsible	Progress

8. Monitoring: The impacts of this proposal will need to be monitored and reviewed. Please specify the date at which you will evaluate the impact, and where you will report the results of the review.

The impacts of this proposal will be evaluated on:	December 2019
--	---------------